

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,507	02/26/2004	Jeffrey R. Bury	MBC-0511	4993
	7590 07/27/200 IDOTI CO., LPA	EXAMINER		
24500 CENTE	R RIDGE ROAD, SUI	EGWIM, KELECHI CHIDI		
CLEVELAND, OH 44145			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•		<u> </u>	07/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

7		A 1: 4/-)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
055 - 4 - 4 0	10/787,507	BURY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. lly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 September 2006</u> .					
,	·—					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,10,11,18,24 and 25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-9,12-17,19-23 and 26-32</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) Ine oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date	'	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/787,507

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 05/07/2007 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. This application still contains claims 4, 10, 11, 18, 24, 25 and 33-50, drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 04/27/2006.
- 3. Due to amendments and persuasive arguments by applicant, the previous rejections of record based on Moreau et al. have been overcome and are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 1713

5. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 12-17, 19-23 and 26-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Anderson et al., for reason cited in previous actions.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 05/07/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. Regarding Anderson et al, applicant argues that the recited "ranges are the 'approximate solids content, %' of each individual component, before mixing." And then goes on to argue that "The approximate solids content is the concentration of the solids in solution and the primary active ingredient in the component provides the desired effect (i.e., set delay, acceleration, or reduced amount of water) on the cementitious composition."

While the percentages in TABLE A are approximate solids content, the percentage are based on 100 % of the solids content of the admixture components, as evidenced by the fact that when each component is maximized, the total adds up to 100. This provides us with the ratio of the components. Applicant seem to be confusing the dosages, based on solid content, with the solids ration/percentage of the three components.

One of ordinary skill in the art would understand the approximate solids content % in table A as identifying the ratio of the three components, thereby meeting the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,507

Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim whose telephone number is (571) 272-1099. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (7:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KELECHI C. EGWIM PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

KCE